Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

IN RE: TFT-LCD (FLAT PANEL) ANTITRUST LITIGATION,

Case No. 07-md-01827-SI

ORDER DENYING CLAIMANT RONALD WATERMAN'S MOTION FOR CHECK NOT CASHED

Re: Dkt. No. 9539

The Court has reviewed the response filed by the indirect purchaser class to the Court's June 17, 2016 order and the "motion for check not cashed" filed by Mr. Ronald Waterman. Dkt. Nos. 9539, 9540. Plaintiffs state that Mr. Waterman's check has been verified as a part of the initial distribution of the indirect purchaser class settlement, and that there is no record that the check issued to Mr. Waterman was returned due to an undeliverable address. Dkt. No. 9541-1 (Rust Decl., ¶ 8).

Plaintiffs also state that the claims administrator is in the final phase of distributing payments to the underpaid/unpaid claims and late claims pursuant to the Court's January 4, 2016 Order Authorizing Distribution of Residual Settlement Funds. See Dkt. No. 9499. Plaintiffs object to reissuing a new check to Mr. Waterman, but state that "[i]n the event that there are still residual amounts left after this round of distribution, and subject to the Court's approval, the IPPs may recommend that the remaining funds be used for reissuing all uncashed checks that had a stale date on a pro rata basis." Dkt. No. 9541 at 3.

Based upon this record, the Court finds that the claims administrator followed the courtapproved notice and distribution procedures with regard to Mr. Waterman. Accordingly, the Court finds no basis to order that a check be reissued at this time. However, if there are residual

Case 3:07-md-01827-SI Document 9542 Filed 07/20/16 Page 2 of 2

	2
	3
	4
	5
	6
	7
	8
	9
	10
	11
ia	
iforn	12 13
f Cal	14
District of	15
	15 16
thern	
Nor	17 18 19
	19
	20
	21
	22
	23
	24
	25
	26
	27

28

United States District Court

1

amounts after the current round of distribution, the Court finds that it would be equitable to use those funds to reissue a check to Mr. Waterman on a pro rata basis (along with other claimants who did not cash their checks). In the event of such a distribution, Mr. Waterman has requested that the new check be sent to him, in care of Mrs. Gloria Thomas, 14 Mallon Road, Dorchester, Massachusetts 02121-3814.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: July 20, 2016

SUSAN ILLSTON United States District Judge